1	SHARI RUSK Attorney at Law 916 2 nd Ave., 2 nd flr Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 804-8656 Attorney for Defendant	
2		
3		
4		
5	IGNACIO VALENCIA	
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:25-cr-00016-JAM
11	Plaintiff,	FOURTH STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
12	V.	CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDE TIME
13		
14	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on August 5, 2025.	
19	2. By this stipulation, defendant nov	w moves to continue the status conference until
20	September 09, 2025, at 09:00 a.m., and to exclude time between August 5, 2025, and September 09,	
21	2025, under Local Code T4.	
22	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:	
23	a) The government has prod	uced discovery associated with this case which includes
24	17 GB of data, multiple BWC and dash cam videos and several hundred pages.	
25	b) Counsel for defendant continues to review the discovery, to review the charges	
26	and potential responses to the charges with her client, to conduct factual investigation and legal	
27	research, and to otherwise prepare for trial.	
28		
- 1	I	

Case 2:25-cr-00016-JAM Document 22 Filed 07/28/25 Page 2 of 3

- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of August 5, 2025 to September 09, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: July 25, 2025

/s/ Shari Rusk Shari Rusk Counsel for Defendant IGNACIO VALENCIA

Dated: July 25, 2025

MICHELE BECKWITH
Acting United States Attorney

/s/ Nicole Vanek
Nicole Vanek

Assistant United States Attorney

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 28, 2025

/s/ John A. Mendez

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE